

YouGov /Liberty Survey Results

Sample Size: 1926

Fieldwork: 25th - 27th March 2008

Aggregated Government Office of the Voting intention Social Grade Gender Age Region 35 to Rest of Midlands / 18 to Lon-Scot-55 + Con Lab Male **Female** ABC1 C2DE North Total Dem 34 54 don South Wales land 651 438 All GB Adults 1926 249 924 1002 589 654 683 1040 886 242 621 415 476 172 Unweighted Sample 982 477 746 284 618 398 474

The police currently have the power to detain and question people suspected of terrorism offences without charge for up to 28 days. This is called "pre-charge detention". The Government would like to extend this period to up to 42 days without charge.

Which of these do you think is the Government's main motivation for this proposal?

Because the Government wants to help the police do their job	35	28	57	22	36	34	33	37	35	33	37	37	35	29	37	39
Because the Government wants to look "tough on terror"	54	63	34	73	54	54	52	54	56	58	50	51	55	57	52	55
Not sure	11	8	9	5	10	12	15	9	10	9	13	11	9	14	11	7

At present, once someone is charged with a criminal offence, the police are not allowed to question them further on that or any related offence. It has been suggested that instead of being allowed to hold suspects without charge for up to 42 days, we should keep the 28-day limit, but give the police the power to charge suspected terrorists for a lesser offence (for example, possessing articles for terrorist purposes) and then, if the police gather fresh evidence, they should be able to question the suspects further, and to charge them later with a more serious offence (for example, conspiracy to murder).

If you had to choose between these three options which would you prefer?

We should keep the present 28-day limit, and also keep the rule that prevents the police from questioning suspects after they have been charged	7	8	7	8	10	5	9	8	4	8	6	7	6	8	8	8
The police should continue to be barred from questioning suspects after they have been charged, but the time limit for holding suspects without charge should be raised to 42 days	13	12	20	11	16	10	12	12	14	12	14	12	11	17	12	14
The 28-day limit should remain, but the police should be allowed to question suspects further after they have been charged, if the police obtain fresh evidence on related offences	70	75	64	70	65	75	63	72	75	72	68	69	76	63	69	73
Don't know	10	5	9	11	9	10	16	7	6	8	12	12	7	12	11	6



		Voting intention			Gender		Age			Social Grade		Aggregated Government Office of the						
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18 to 34	35 to 54	55 +	ABC1	C2DE	Lon- don	Rest of South	Region Midlands / Wales	North	Scot-		
All GB Adults	1926	651	438	249	924	1002	589	654	683	1040	886	242	621	415	476	172		
Unweighted Sample	1926	693	430	231	944	982	477	598	851	1180	746	284	618	398	474	152		
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		
Which of these statements comes closer to your own view?	ı	•					•			•		•						
If the pre-charge detention period were extended, it is very unlikely that wholly innocent people would be held on suspicion for as long as 42 days	7.3	50	46	33	43	44	40	44	45	43	43	40	43	43	47	40		
If the pre-charge detention were extended, there is a real risk of innocent people being held on suspicion for as long as 42 days		37	37	57	43	36	40	40	37	44	34	41	42	37	34	46		
Not sure	18	13	17	10	15	20	20	16	19	13	23	19	16	21	19	14		
If Parliament agrees to the new detention powers do you think that this will be good or bad for Britain's reputation at home and abroad?	i	م ا			ا ا	<i>4</i> 1	۱	40	[I	۱			40			
Good for Britain's reputation	46	49	54	34	45	47	41	48	48	43	50	44	44	51	48	38		
Bad for Britain's reputation	28	29	22	41	34	22	30	27	27	34	21	29	30	25	25	33		
Not sure	26	23	25	25	21	31	29	25	25	23	30	27	26	24	27	29		