

YouGov / CPRE Survey Results

Sample Size: 4931 GB Adults

Fieldwork: 31st August - 5th September 2017

	Vote in 2017			EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
Weighted Sample	4931	1691	1617	301	1938	2106	2387	2544	572	2106	1218	1036	2811	2120	661	1598	1055	1188	429
Unweighted Sample	4931	1683	1700	378	2187	2107	2082	2849	507	1943	1298	1183	2944	1987	401	1755	1087	1212	476
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

To what extent do you support or oppose more homes being built in your area?

Strongly support	12	8	16	11	14	10	14	10	11	12	13	11	11	14	18	10	10	13	17
Tend to support	27	24	32	34	33	22	28	26	36	27	23	26	29	24	31	25	25	27	33
TOTAL SUPPORT	39	32	48	45	47	32	42	36	47	39	36	37	40	38	49	35	35	40	50
Neither support nor oppose	25	26	22	25	23	25	25	25	21	24	26	27	24	26	24	22	28	25	26
Tend to oppose	18	22	15	17	16	20	16	20	16	17	19	21	19	17	14	22	20	16	12
Strongly oppose	13	18	9	10	9	19	12	15	9	13	16	14	13	14	8	17	13	14	8
TOTAL OPPOSE	31	40	24	27	25	39	28	35	25	30	35	35	32	31	22	39	33	30	20
Don't know	5	2	4	3	4	3	5	5	8	7	3	1	4	5	5	4	5	6	4

Have you, or have you not, ever submitted a planning application in relation to a housing development?

I have submitted a planning application and it was approved	6	8	4	5	5	7	6	5	3	4	6	10	6	5	6	6	6	5	4
I have submitted a planning application but it was rejected	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	3	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1
I have never submitted a planning application	88	89	91	93	91	88	87	89	84	88	91	87	89	88	88	89	87	87	89
Don't know	5	2	4	1	3	3	5	4	10	6	2	2	4	6	5	4	4	6	5

Have you, or have you not, ever engaged with a local or neighbourhood plan in relation to a housing development? (Please tick all that apply)

No – I have never engaged with a local or neighbourhood plan	79	77	81	73	77	78	78	79	81	81	78	74	76	82	75	76	81	80	84
Yes – I have commented on a local or neighbourhood plan in opposition to the proposal	11	13	10	14	11	12	11	11	7	9	13	14	13	8	15	13	9	9	6
Yes – I have commented on a local or neighbourhood plan in favour to the proposal	4	4	3	7	5	3	4	4	3	3	4	5	4	3	3	5	3	3	3
Yes – I have commented on a local or neighbourhood plan but neither in favour nor in opposition to the proposal	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	2	2	4	4	3	2	4	3	3	2	3
Yes – I have taken part in the creation of a neighbourhood plan	2	2	1	5	2	2	2	2	1	1	3	4	2	1	2	3	1	2	2
Don't know	4	3	3	2	3	3	5	4	8	6	2	2	4	5	4	3	4	6	3

Sample Size: 4931 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 31st August - 5th September 2017

	Vote in 2017			EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
Weighted Sample	4931	1691	1617	301	1938	2106	2387	2544	572	2106	1218	1036	2811	2120	661	1598	1055	1188	429
Unweighted Sample	4931	1683	1700	378	2187	2107	2082	2849	507	1943	1298	1183	2944	1987	401	1755	1087	1212	476
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

And have you, or have you not, ever engaged with the planning system in any of the following ways in relation to a housing development?

Commented on a planning application

I have done this in favour of the proposal	4	4	4	8	5	4	4	4	2	3	4	7	4	3	5	5	3	3	4
I have done this in opposition of the proposal	17	22	15	24	18	20	17	18	7	13	23	25	21	13	16	21	15	17	11
I have done this, but neither in favour nor in opposition to the proposal	4	5	4	6	5	4	4	4	5	3	5	6	5	4	6	4	5	4	3
I have not done this	71	67	74	60	70	69	71	71	79	77	66	61	68	75	70	66	73	73	77
Don't know	3	2	3	2	2	3	4	3	7	4	2	2	3	5	4	3	4	4	5

Attended a local meeting about a planning issue

I have done this in favour of the proposal	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	2	2	2
I have done this in opposition of the proposal	10	13	8	12	10	12	10	9	4	6	14	16	11	7	8	12	8	9	8
I have done this, but neither in favour nor in opposition to the proposal	6	5	5	8	6	6	6	6	4	5	6	8	6	6	7	6	6	4	7
I have not done this	80	78	82	75	80	78	78	81	85	84	77	71	79	81	79	78	81	81	81
Don't know	3	1	2	1	2	2	3	3	5	4	1	1	2	4	3	2	3	4	3

Met a councillor or MP about a planning issue

I have done this in favour of the proposal	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	1	2	1	1	1	1
I have done this in opposition of the proposal	5	7	4	7	4	6	5	5	2	3	6	9	6	4	5	5	5	5	4
I have done this, but neither in favour nor in opposition to the proposal	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	2	3	2	3
I have not done this	88	88	90	88	90	88	87	90	89	90	90	83	89	88	87	88	88	89	91
Don't know	3	1	2	1	2	2	3	3	5	4	1	1	2	4	2	3	3	3	2

Signed a petition about a planning issue

I have done this in favour of the proposal	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	2	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	1
I have done this in opposition of the proposal	18	23	18	22	19	20	18	19	12	14	23	24	20	16	18	21	17	17	13
I have done this, but neither in favour nor in opposition to the proposal	3	2	3	1	2	3	3	3	4	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2
I have not done this	73	71	72	70	72	72	72	73	75	75	70	68	72	74	72	70	73	74	79
Don't know	4	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	5	2	3	3	5	5	3	4	4	5

Sample Size: 4931 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 31st August - 5th September 2017

	Vote in 2017			EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
Weighted Sample	4931	1691	1617	301	1938	2106	2387	2544	572	2106	1218	1036	2811	2120	661	1598	1055	1188	429
Unweighted Sample	4931	1683	1700	378	2187	2107	2082	2849	507	1943	1298	1183	2944	1987	401	1755	1087	1212	476
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

You previously said that you had participated in the planning system and that you opposed a proposed housing development. What were the main two or three reasons were you in opposition to the development? (Please tick up to three)

[This question was seen only by those who had said that they had participated in a planning system and had opposed a proposed housing development; n=1398]

Disruption caused	13	12	14	8	13	13	14	12	16	17	10	11	13	13	17	12	11	12	17
The development proposed was poorly designed	15	15	16	16	19	12	15	14	15	14	17	12	14	16	24	14	12	13	14
The landscape would be negatively impacted	17	16	17	18	17	15	17	16	21	18	19	11	18	15	17	16	14	19	20
Wildlife and conservation would be negatively impacted	19	19	20	18	20	18	17	22	18	22	19	17	19	21	15	20	24	19	17
Pressure upon infrastructure (or local services and facilities) would be increased	36	43	34	30	36	42	36	37	21	34	35	46	37	35	30	41	39	30	35
The local economy/jobs would be negatively affected	3	4	2	2	2	4	4	2	11	2	2	3	3	2	3	4	2	1	4
Increased flood risk	7	11	5	6	6	10	7	7	5	6	7	10	7	8	0	11	7	7	7
Not the type of houses the local area needs	12	10	16	12	13	13	11	13	15	11	12	13	12	13	17	14	9	12	8
The character of the local area would be negatively impacted	22	22	22	25	23	21	21	22	17	17	25	24	23	20	26	20	20	25	18
Green space would be lost	30	34	31	20	26	34	31	30	27	31	32	29	30	32	17	31	34	34	29
A more suitable site is available, such as existing derelict sites or unused buildings	16	15	19	17	16	16	17	16	17	13	18	17	16	16	16	15	16	18	20
More housing is not needed in the local area	12	14	11	7	10	12	13	12	18	13	11	11	13	10	12	10	14	16	7
Changes the demographic makeup of the area negatively	10	12	10	7	11	10	10	11	8	10	9	13	9	12	14	10	13	7	6
The development does not follow plans the local community have endorsed (through a Local plan)	12	12	10	16	13	11	13	11	13	8	12	16	13	9	13	12	10	9	21
Something else	7	7	6	16	8	7	6	9	4	6	8	9	7	8	7	9	7	6	5
Don't know	2	2	2	4	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	3	0	2	1	4	3

Sample Size: 4931 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 31st August - 5th September 2017

	Vote in 2017			EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
Weighted Sample	4931	1691	1617	301	1938	2106	2387	2544	572	2106	1218	1036	2811	2120	661	1598	1055	1188	429
Unweighted Sample	4931	1683	1700	378	2187	2107	2082	2849	507	1943	1298	1183	2944	1987	401	1755	1087	1212	476
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

You previously said that you had participated in the planning system and that you supported a proposed housing development. For what reasons were you in support of the development? (Please tick up to three)

[This question was seen only by those who had said that they had participated in a planning system and had supported a proposed housing development; n=334]

I think there need to be more developments in my local area	11	12	9	9	13	10	10	13	12	16	7	9	10	13	7	14	5	16	11
The development proposed was well designed	21	26	23	27	23	27	22	21	21	18	24	24	21	23	25	23	24	13	19
The landscape would be positively impacted	6	3	7	7	6	4	8	5	4	10	4	5	8	3	7	4	6	11	5
Wildlife and conservation would be positively impacted	6	5	4	0	6	1	8	5	30	4	3	3	7	5	7	3	15	5	3
Pressure upon infrastructure (or local services and facilities) would be reduced	4	2	4	9	4	2	2	6	5	7	2	1	5	2	4	5	2	3	3
The local economy/jobs would be positively affected	19	19	23	13	19	15	24	13	24	23	9	21	20	18	19	22	18	21	6
Reduced flood risk	4	4	7	2	5	2	3	5	6	5	5	2	4	6	7	4	3	5	3
Proposed the type of houses the local area needs	20	23	18	39	23	20	18	22	2	15	26	27	23	14	14	22	18	23	20
The character of the local area would be positively impacted	9	6	15	4	7	11	10	7	4	10	11	6	6	12	12	9	0	11	12
Green space would be saved	8	4	12	6	7	11	9	7	17	9	5	8	8	9	8	6	10	12	9
It uses a suitable site, such as existing derelict sites or unused buildings	31	37	32	33	38	27	32	29	14	25	29	45	32	28	28	30	34	35	27
More housing is needed in the local area	33	31	33	42	36	31	31	36	35	28	36	37	29	41	36	37	27	35	24
Changes the demographic makeup of the area positively	9	8	5	3	8	8	12	6	17	12	9	4	11	7	12	9	7	12	6
The development follows plans the local community have endorsed (through a Local plan)	11	16	10	11	13	13	13	10	10	3	12	20	12	10	0	15	8	13	25
Something else	5	5	6	11	5	6	5	5	2	3	7	8	6	3	6	7	4	3	3
Don't know	7	5	8	8	6	7	5	8	4	10	7	4	5	10	7	4	10	6	11

Sample Size: 4931 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 31st August - 5th September 2017

	Vote in 2017				EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region				
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
Weighted Sample	4931	1691	1617	301	1938	2106	2387	2544	572	2106	1218	1036	2811	2120	661	1598	1055	1188	429
Unweighted Sample	4931	1683	1700	378	2187	2107	2082	2849	507	1943	1298	1183	2944	1987	401	1755	1087	1212	476
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Thinking about the new housing developments that you have seen in the last five years or so in your local area...

Do you think they are providing enough, not enough, or the right amount of the following types of properties?

Small family homes to rent

Providing too many	5	7	3	2	4	6	5	5	5	6	4	4	5	4	3	6	5	5	3
Providing the right amount	17	20	17	15	17	19	16	19	21	18	17	15	18	17	16	17	20	17	14
Not providing enough	41	38	46	46	42	42	41	42	33	37	46	50	39	45	41	41	37	44	48
Don't know	36	35	34	38	37	33	37	35	41	39	33	31	38	34	39	36	38	34	36

Medium family homes to rent

Providing too many	5	7	4	3	4	7	6	5	5	6	5	4	5	5	3	6	5	6	3
Providing the right amount	22	27	21	18	21	25	22	23	26	23	21	22	23	22	20	22	25	22	21
Not providing enough	33	29	38	34	35	32	33	32	24	31	37	38	31	35	36	32	28	34	40
Don't know	39	37	37	45	40	36	39	40	45	41	37	35	40	38	41	40	41	38	36

Large family homes to rent

Providing too many	9	12	9	7	9	12	10	9	8	9	10	10	10	9	8	12	9	8	5
Providing the right amount	19	22	17	20	19	20	18	20	21	18	18	21	19	20	15	19	21	21	17
Not providing enough	27	21	32	24	27	26	27	26	23	28	28	24	25	29	31	23	24	28	36
Don't know	45	44	42	49	46	42	44	45	48	45	43	44	46	43	46	45	47	43	42

Private affordable housing to rent

Providing too many	7	9	6	4	6	9	7	6	5	7	7	8	7	6	7	7	6	7	5
Providing the right amount	15	19	12	15	14	17	14	15	16	14	15	17	15	15	12	15	18	15	12
Not providing enough	43	38	50	43	46	42	42	44	40	43	46	42	41	46	48	44	38	43	47
Don't know	35	35	32	38	35	32	36	34	40	36	33	33	36	34	33	34	38	35	35

Social housing to rent

Providing too many	7	12	5	4	5	10	8	7	8	8	6	6	9	5	5	9	7	7	3
Providing the right amount	14	17	13	11	13	16	14	14	16	15	12	15	14	14	12	13	18	13	13
Not providing enough	45	40	52	52	48	45	44	46	32	40	53	53	41	50	49	45	39	45	52
Don't know	34	32	31	33	34	29	34	33	45	37	29	27	36	31	33	33	36	34	33

Retirement housing or older people's provision to rent

Providing too many	6	8	5	6	6	7	6	6	6	7	6	4	6	6	4	10	5	4	2
Providing the right amount	18	20	17	17	18	18	19	18	24	19	16	16	19	18	13	20	21	17	16
Not providing enough	37	39	38	33	35	42	35	39	25	29	42	53	35	39	37	34	33	41	45
Don't know	39	33	40	44	41	32	40	38	45	45	36	26	40	37	45	36	41	37	36

Starter homes to buy

Providing too many	5	6	4	3	3	7	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	6	5	3
Providing the right amount	16	21	14	12	16	18	15	17	13	17	17	16	17	16	13	15	20	18	14
Not providing enough	49	48	53	54	53	49	49	48	48	44	51	55	49	48	49	52	44	48	50
Don't know	30	26	28	31	29	27	31	29	35	33	27	25	29	32	34	28	31	28	32

Sample Size: 4931 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 31st August - 5th September 2017

	Vote in 2017				EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region				
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
Weighted Sample	4931	1691	1617	301	1938	2106	2387	2544	572	2106	1218	1036	2811	2120	661	1598	1055	1188	429
Unweighted Sample	4931	1683	1700	378	2187	2107	2082	2849	507	1943	1298	1183	2944	1987	401	1755	1087	1212	476
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Small family homes to buy																			
Providing too many	6	7	5	2	4	8	6	6	4	7	6	5	6	6	3	7	7	6	4
Providing the right amount	22	28	20	19	21	24	21	23	24	20	23	23	23	21	19	22	23	23	21
Not providing enough	41	39	45	47	44	40	42	40	33	38	43	47	41	41	41	42	37	41	42
Don't know	31	26	30	32	30	28	32	31	39	35	27	25	30	33	37	29	33	30	33
Medium family homes to buy																			
Providing too many	7	9	6	5	5	9	6	7	4	6	8	7	6	7	3	8	6	8	6
Providing the right amount	34	41	30	35	34	36	32	36	35	29	35	41	35	32	24	35	36	35	35
Not providing enough	26	24	31	23	28	26	29	23	20	28	26	26	26	26	33	26	23	26	25
Don't know	34	27	33	38	33	30	33	34	40	37	31	26	33	35	40	31	35	32	34
Larger family homes to buy																			
Providing too many	18	22	16	20	18	21	17	18	10	15	23	22	19	17	9	21	18	18	17
Providing the right amount	28	33	25	27	29	28	26	29	30	24	28	35	29	27	23	28	29	29	29
Not providing enough	19	15	21	16	19	18	21	17	19	23	17	13	18	20	25	17	18	19	20
Don't know	36	29	37	37	35	32	36	35	41	39	32	30	35	37	43	34	35	34	34
Shared ownership homes (part-rent-part-buy)																			
Providing too many	6	8	6	4	5	8	7	6	4	8	6	5	7	6	4	8	6	7	3
Providing the right amount	17	21	17	15	17	19	17	18	17	18	16	19	18	16	19	18	18	16	15
Not providing enough	28	25	32	31	31	26	28	27	22	26	31	31	28	28	30	30	24	26	29
Don't know	49	47	45	50	46	47	48	49	57	49	47	46	48	50	47	45	51	51	53
Retirement housing or older people's provision to buy																			
Providing too many	8	10	6	8	7	9	7	8	8	9	8	6	7	8	6	12	7	4	3
Providing the right amount	20	22	19	19	20	19	21	18	25	19	19	18	21	19	15	24	21	17	16
Not providing enough	33	36	35	30	32	38	31	35	24	26	38	48	32	35	33	30	31	39	41
Don't know	39	33	40	42	41	34	40	38	43	46	35	28	40	39	45	35	42	39	40
Ability to custom- or self-build homes																			
Providing too many	3	4	2	2	2	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	2	4	3
Providing the right amount	11	12	11	9	11	11	12	9	13	11	10	10	11	11	9	10	13	10	13
Not providing enough	29	28	31	29	31	28	29	28	27	29	31	26	29	28	29	31	28	27	27
Don't know	57	57	56	60	57	57	55	60	57	56	56	60	57	58	59	55	57	59	57

Sample Size: 4931 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 31st August - 5th September 2017

	Vote in 2017			EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
Weighted Sample	4931	1691	1617	301	1938	2106	2387	2544	572	2106	1218	1036	2811	2120	661	1598	1055	1188	429
Unweighted Sample	4931	1683	1700	378	2187	2107	2082	2849	507	1943	1298	1183	2944	1987	401	1755	1087	1212	476
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Do you think these developments have a positive impact, negative impact, or no real impact on the local area when it comes to...?

The design of the buildings

Positive impact	22	21	22	22	23	19	22	21	27	23	19	19	23	20	23	19	22	24	23
Negative impact	23	27	21	26	23	26	24	23	19	22	25	26	24	21	23	28	19	23	18
No real impact	29	31	30	27	28	31	31	28	27	26	33	33	29	30	26	29	32	28	30
Don't know	26	21	26	24	26	24	23	29	28	29	23	22	24	28	28	24	28	25	29

The roads

Positive impact	13	11	14	8	12	13	15	12	21	14	10	12	12	15	16	11	14	14	18
Negative impact	56	67	52	67	57	61	54	58	38	52	65	63	59	52	44	66	54	53	47
No real impact	13	12	14	11	12	13	15	12	17	13	12	14	12	15	16	10	14	15	17
Don't know	17	10	20	14	18	13	16	18	25	21	13	10	16	19	24	13	18	17	18

Public transport

Positive impact	21	18	23	17	21	19	21	22	30	22	18	19	20	22	24	19	20	22	24
Negative impact	31	38	29	30	29	36	33	30	21	29	34	38	33	28	31	37	29	28	21
No real impact	27	29	26	31	28	27	28	26	24	24	31	30	26	28	21	25	28	30	33
Don't know	21	15	22	22	22	17	19	23	25	26	17	13	20	22	24	19	23	20	22

Healthcare

Positive impact	13	11	14	9	13	12	14	12	19	14	10	12	12	15	17	10	14	14	15
Negative impact	47	61	42	50	44	56	46	48	30	42	56	56	50	44	43	58	47	41	33
No real impact	19	16	20	19	20	16	21	16	22	19	18	17	18	19	15	15	18	23	28
Don't know	21	13	24	21	23	16	19	23	29	25	16	15	21	22	26	17	22	22	24

Education

Positive impact	17	14	18	16	18	15	19	16	25	18	14	16	16	19	21	14	18	18	21
Negative impact	40	53	35	43	38	49	38	42	26	35	48	48	43	35	34	49	38	37	31
No real impact	20	18	21	19	19	19	22	17	22	19	20	19	18	22	18	17	20	22	24
Don't know	23	15	26	22	25	18	21	25	27	27	19	17	23	24	27	20	24	24	25

Jobs

Positive impact	31	28	34	30	35	28	34	29	39	33	29	27	33	30	36	30	30	30	36
Negative impact	17	21	15	16	13	21	16	18	17	16	20	16	17	18	11	22	18	16	13
No real impact	27	32	25	29	27	30	28	26	16	23	32	35	27	27	23	26	27	30	27
Don't know	24	19	26	25	26	21	21	27	28	27	20	21	23	25	29	22	25	24	24

The local economy

Positive impact	47	46	49	55	53	42	51	43	53	49	45	42	51	41	48	46	46	46	50
Negative impact	11	14	9	8	8	14	10	11	8	9	13	13	11	11	10	13	10	10	7
No real impact	20	24	18	18	17	24	20	21	12	16	25	28	18	23	16	20	20	23	21
Don't know	22	16	24	20	21	20	20	25	27	26	18	17	21	24	26	20	24	21	22

Sample Size: 4931 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 31st August - 5th September 2017

	Vote in 2017			EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
Weighted Sample	4931	1691	1617	301	1938	2106	2387	2544	572	2106	1218	1036	2811	2120	661	1598	1055	1188	429
Unweighted Sample	4931	1683	1700	378	2187	2107	2082	2849	507	1943	1298	1183	2944	1987	401	1755	1087	1212	476
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Green spaces																			
Positive impact	13	10	13	10	13	11	13	12	17	14	9	12	12	13	19	10	11	12	17
Negative impact	54	64	51	60	54	60	52	56	44	52	60	57	57	51	45	61	55	53	44
No real impact	16	15	17	15	16	16	18	13	16	13	17	20	15	17	14	15	15	17	20
Don't know	17	10	19	15	18	14	17	18	23	21	14	10	16	19	22	14	19	17	20
Making use of existing derelict sites or unused buildings																			
Positive impact	50	50	52	52	53	49	50	49	52	51	47	49	52	46	50	50	49	49	48
Negative impact	10	12	8	10	8	11	9	10	8	9	12	9	9	10	10	10	9	10	10
No real impact	19	22	19	21	18	22	20	18	15	15	23	25	18	21	14	21	19	20	21
Don't know	22	16	22	17	21	19	20	23	25	25	18	16	21	23	25	19	23	21	21
The character of the local area																			
Positive impact	21	17	22	20	23	17	21	21	27	21	18	20	21	21	25	15	20	25	25
Negative impact	36	47	30	38	32	43	36	36	29	31	42	42	38	34	32	44	34	32	29
No real impact	22	23	25	22	24	23	24	21	17	22	23	25	22	23	20	22	23	23	23
Don't know	21	14	23	19	21	17	20	22	27	25	17	13	20	22	22	19	23	20	24

The following groups are involved in what housing developments happen in a local area. Do you think each of the following are doing a good job or bad job in deciding about the housing needs in your local area?

Local authorities

Very good job	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	
Fairly good job	11	12	11	11	13	10	11	11	12	10	11	13	12	9	11	11	10	11	15
TOTAL GOOD JOB	12	12	12	11	14	10	12	12	13	11	11	14	13	10	12	11	11	12	16
Neither good nor bad job	19	22	19	20	21	19	20	18	19	17	22	22	20	18	18	19	21	19	19
Fairly bad job	22	26	21	25	23	24	23	22	18	20	24	28	24	21	22	25	20	21	22
Very bad job	16	16	16	17	13	20	18	14	7	15	20	18	15	17	14	18	14	16	12
TOTAL BAD JOB	38	42	37	42	36	44	41	36	25	35	44	46	39	38	36	43	34	37	34
Not applicable - I didn't know they were involved in the decision making process	4	4	5	2	3	5	3	5	7	5	3	4	3	6	7	3	5	4	5
Don't know	26	20	27	25	26	22	24	28	36	32	20	15	25	28	28	23	29	27	27

National government

Very good job	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Fairly good job	5	8	3	2	4	5	6	4	6	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	6	5	6
TOTAL GOOD JOB	5	8	3	2	5	5	6	4	7	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	6	6	6
Neither good nor bad job	17	24	13	10	15	19	17	16	14	16	15	20	17	16	15	18	18	14	19
Fairly bad job	22	22	23	27	24	22	23	21	19	20	24	24	23	20	23	23	19	22	21
Very bad job	20	16	27	25	22	20	23	17	13	17	27	23	20	20	19	22	17	22	18
TOTAL BAD JOB	42	38	50	52	46	42	46	38	32	37	51	47	43	40	42	45	36	44	39
Not applicable - I didn't know they were involved in the decision making process	8	7	8	8	7	8	6	10	11	8	7	9	7	10	9	7	9	9	9

Sample Size: 4931 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 31st August - 5th September 2017

	Vote in 2017				EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region				
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
Weighted Sample	4931	1691	1617	301	1938	2106	2387	2544	572	2106	1218	1036	2811	2120	661	1598	1055	1188	429
Unweighted Sample	4931	1683	1700	378	2187	2107	2082	2849	507	1943	1298	1183	2944	1987	401	1755	1087	1212	476
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Large housing developers																			
Don't know	28	23	26	28	27	24	24	31	35	33	23	19	27	29	28	25	30	29	28
Very good job	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
Fairly good job	10	12	9	8	10	9	10	9	12	9	8	11	10	9	8	8	9	12	12
TOTAL GOOD JOB	11	12	9	8	10	9	11	9	13	10	8	11	11	10	8	8	10	13	12
Neither good nor bad job	19	21	17	21	18	19	19	18	15	18	19	22	19	18	18	17	21	19	19
Fairly bad job	19	21	20	20	21	20	19	18	13	16	22	22	20	17	19	22	16	16	20
Very bad job	16	17	18	20	16	19	19	14	7	15	20	19	17	16	16	21	14	15	11
TOTAL BAD JOB	35	38	38	40	37	39	38	32	20	31	42	41	37	33	35	43	30	31	31
Not applicable - I didn't know they were involved in the decision making process	7	6	6	3	5	7	6	8	10	7	6	7	6	9	9	5	8	7	7
Don't know	29	23	30	28	29	25	27	32	40	35	24	18	28	31	30	26	31	31	31
Small and medium sized housing developers																			
Very good job	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
Fairly good job	15	20	13	15	18	14	16	15	16	13	14	21	16	14	11	16	15	16	18
TOTAL GOOD JOB	16	21	14	16	19	15	17	16	18	14	15	22	17	15	11	17	16	17	18
Neither good nor bad job	22	24	21	23	21	24	23	21	16	20	24	26	22	22	17	22	24	22	24
Fairly bad job	15	16	17	20	17	16	16	15	11	15	18	16	16	15	19	17	12	15	13
Very bad job	9	9	10	7	8	11	11	7	4	9	12	9	9	9	10	11	8	8	6
TOTAL BAD JOB	24	25	27	27	25	27	27	22	15	24	30	25	25	24	29	28	20	23	19
Not applicable - I didn't know they were involved in the decision making process	7	7	7	5	6	7	6	8	11	6	6	7	6	9	10	6	8	6	7
Don't know	30	23	32	29	30	26	27	33	41	36	24	20	29	32	33	27	32	32	31
Housing associations																			
Very good job	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	2	2	3	2	1	2	2
Fairly good job	15	16	16	19	18	14	16	14	11	11	17	22	16	13	15	14	13	15	20
TOTAL GOOD JOB	17	17	18	20	20	16	18	16	13	12	20	24	18	15	18	16	14	17	22
Neither good nor bad job	21	25	19	20	21	23	22	20	17	19	24	24	23	19	19	21	23	19	24
Fairly bad job	15	15	15	13	14	16	16	14	13	17	13	15	15	15	15	17	13	15	12
Very bad job	8	9	7	7	6	10	8	7	4	8	9	8	7	9	6	10	7	7	5
TOTAL BAD JOB	23	24	22	20	20	26	24	21	17	25	22	23	22	24	21	27	20	22	17
Not applicable - I didn't know they were involved in the decision making process	8	7	8	5	7	7	6	9	12	7	7	8	7	9	9	6	8	8	8
Don't know	32	27	31	34	32	28	30	34	42	37	28	22	32	32	32	30	35	33	29

Sample Size: 4931 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 31st August - 5th September 2017

	Vote in 2017				EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region				
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
Weighted Sample	4931	1691	1617	301	1938	2106	2387	2544	572	2106	1218	1036	2811	2120	661	1598	1055	1188	429
Unweighted Sample	4931	1683	1700	378	2187	2107	2082	2849	507	1943	1298	1183	2944	1987	401	1755	1087	1212	476
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Land owners																			
Very good job	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	0
Fairly good job	7	8	6	7	6	7	7	6	13	7	5	6	7	6	4	7	8	8	7
TOTAL GOOD JOB	8	9	7	7	7	7	8	7	15	8	5	6	8	6	6	8	8	9	7
Neither good nor bad job	20	24	17	24	21	20	22	18	17	18	21	23	21	19	16	21	21	18	22
Fairly bad job	17	18	19	20	19	17	18	16	12	15	20	20	18	15	18	18	15	17	16
Very bad job	12	12	14	11	12	15	15	10	5	11	16	15	12	12	15	13	11	11	11
TOTAL BAD JOB	29	30	33	31	31	32	33	26	17	26	36	35	30	27	33	31	26	28	27
Not applicable - I didn't know they were involved in the decision making process	9	9	9	5	7	11	8	11	10	9	9	10	8	12	10	8	10	9	10
Don't know	34	28	35	33	34	30	30	38	42	39	29	26	33	35	36	31	36	35	33
Local people																			
Very good job	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	4	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	2
Fairly good job	15	17	15	16	18	14	17	14	18	13	16	18	17	14	14	17	15	15	16
TOTAL GOOD JOB	17	19	17	19	20	16	19	16	22	15	19	20	19	16	16	20	17	18	18
Neither good nor bad job	26	30	24	27	26	28	28	24	19	23	29	33	27	25	24	28	25	25	25
Fairly bad job	7	8	7	10	8	7	8	6	6	7	8	7	8	6	8	8	6	6	8
Very bad job	4	4	3	3	3	4	5	3	2	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3
TOTAL BAD JOB	11	12	10	13	11	11	13	9	8	11	12	11	11	10	12	12	10	9	11
Not applicable - I didn't know they were involved in the decision making process	15	15	17	13	15	17	13	18	18	15	15	15	14	17	16	13	16	17	16
Don't know	30	24	31	29	28	27	27	32	33	36	25	22	29	31	31	27	32	30	30